

### REMARKS

Claims 122-124, 126-140, and 171-186 are pending in the application, with claims 122, 127, 137 and 174 being independent. Claims 49-121, 125 and 141-170 have been cancelled, claims 122, 126-132 and 136-140 have been amended, and claims 171-186 have been added. In addition, the specification has been amended to correct a typographical error to the extent of changing "in other wards" to "in other words." Support for the claim amendments may be found in the published application at paragraphs [0023] and [0067], and FIGS. 8A to 8C. No new matter has been introduced.

Initially, the undersigned thanks the Examiner for the courtesy of the personal interview held on March 17, 2010. The substance of the interview included a discussion of claims 49, 69, 94, 100 and 147 and the rejections of record. During the interview, the Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments overcame the previous rejections using Otsuki, Taniguchi and Ebisawa.

Claims 129 and 139 have been amended in response to the Examiner's objections. The amendments to claims 129 and 139 are believed to address the Examiner's concerns.

Claims 122-124 and 126 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taniguchi (U.S. 5,239,228). Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection because, as discussed as the interview, Taniguchi does not describe or suggest an arrangement in which "minute unevennesses are formed on a surface of the second substrate" and "the light outputted through the second substrate passes through the minute unevennesses," as recited in claim 122.

As discussed at the interview, of the three references (Taniguchi, Ebisawa (US 6,284,342) and Otsuki (US 6,737,176)) used to reject the claims, only Otsuki can be said to disclose anything that could be said to constitute "minute unevennesses." In particular, Otsuki, in FIG. 2, shows a concave-convex surface 52 on which a desiccant 54 is formed. However, due to the presence of the desiccant 54, light is not output through the concave-convex surface 52.

Claims 127-140 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taniguchi in view of Ebisawa. Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this

Applicant : Junya Maruyama et al.  
Serial No. : 10/821,927  
Filed : April 12, 2004  
Page : 11 of 11

Attorney's Docket No.: 07977-0297002 / US05492D1

rejection because, as discussed as the interview, neither Taniguchi, Ebisawa, nor any proper combination of the two describes or suggests an arrangement in which “minute unevennesses are formed on a surface of the second substrate” and “the light outputted through the second substrate passes through the minute unevennesses,” as recited in claims 127 and 137.

New independent claim 174 recites features similar to those discussed above and is allowable for at least the same reasons.

All claims are in condition for allowance.

A fee in the amount of \$130 for a one-month extension of time is being paid concurrently herewith on the electronic filing system (EFS) by way of deposit account authorization. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 1, 2010

/John F. Hayden/  
John F. Hayden  
Reg. No. 37640

Customer Number 26171  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
Telephone: (202) 783-5070  
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

40636636